

Molecular Distillation

S. G. BHAT*

THE technique of molecular distillation has developed in recent years into an useful tool in research and in industry. It is a new means available for analysis of various components present in oils. According to Hickman¹, the process is a revolution in the technology of oils, fats and waxes which hitherto have been considered undistillable. The technique has grown from a laboratory application to an industrial process like the separation of vitamin A from fish liver oils which was begun in 1932 by the British Drug Houses, Ltd., in England and about the same time by the Eastman Kodak Co., in the U.S.A.

The principle of molecular distillation² is very simple. It consists of the transfer of vapour from the warmer surface of the liquid to the cooler surface of a nearby condenser, the space between the two being evacuated sufficiently (about 10^{-3} mm. or higher) to prevent any obstruction of the vapour. The distance between evaporator and condenser is considerably less than the mean free path of the molecules at the vacuum maintained. Hence, the molecules leaving the evaporating surface directly lodge on the condensing surface, before colliding with each other or rebounding back in the mass.

The concept of molecular distillation was put forward by Langmuir³ in 1916. He devised a pump that gave more efficient evacuation than was possible previously. One of the first applications of this idea was the separation of isotopes of mercury by Brönsted and Hevesy⁴ in 1922. Successful application of the principle to organic materials was made by Burch⁵ who developed an apparatus in

which the most refractory oil could be refined by molecular distillation.

The principle of molecular distillation, as it is understood, was demonstrated by Burch⁵, Washburn⁶, Waterman⁷ and others. The earliest amongst the molecular stills were the pot stills where only a small amount (less than 20 ml.) of the material could be distilled. These stills of Burch⁵, Washburn⁶, Waterman⁷, Hickman⁸, Nelson and Haller⁹, Carothers¹⁰ and others were in operation since about 1929 in laboratories throughout the world. Progesterone¹¹ was separated from an extract of pig's ovaries in a tiny pot still. Farmer and Van den Heuvel¹² investigated the marine fatty acids using a glass pot still. Vitamin K was separated by Dam¹³ in a pot still and by Almqvist¹⁴ in a compound tube still. Similarly calciferol¹⁵ was distilled from ergosterol in a tubular still.

The pot and tube stills were followed by the cyclic falling film still designed by Hickman². In the latter, a thin film of the material being distilled flows by gravity over a heated evaporating cylinder surrounded by a cold glass cylinder. Several workers have studied the concentration of vitamin A from shark liver oil¹⁶⁻¹⁸ and whale liver oil¹⁹. Patel and Sreenivasan¹⁶ obtained a thirty-fold concentration of vitamin A from Indian shark liver oils using a cyclic falling film still. These authors separated the saturated and unsaturated fatty acids from molecularly distilled fractions and studied their characteristics. Cyclic falling film stills have also been employed on an industrial scale for the production of vitamin A, vitamin D²⁰ and vitamin E²¹. Vitamin A concentrates could be obtain-

*Oils, Fats and Waxes section.

ed in a pure form and in unsaponified condition, in potencies up to 5,00,000 units/gm. These ester concentrates can be saponified and redistilled to produce pure vitamin A alcohol with a potency greater than 3,000,000 units/gm²².

In 1941, the cyclic falling film stills were entirely replaced by 32 inch centrifugal stills²³. The latter employ centrifugal force to whirl the liquid in a thin layer across the surface of a heated plate held in front of a cooled condenser. The thermal exposure in a cyclic falling film still is perhaps 100 times less than in a pot still; whereas in the centrifugal still the exposure may be from 100 to 10,000 times less. Hickman²⁴ has described commercial molecular distillation using a 5 ft. centrifugal still. This design with rotors 5 ft. in diameter and an active distilling area of 5 yds., evaporating 30 lbs. an hour at a pressure as low as a micron were put into service in the laboratories of Distillation Products, Inc., N.Y.

In a comprehensive review, Hickman¹ has surveyed the history, evolution, design and scientific accomplishments of molecular stills discussing the relative still performance. He deals with the applications of molecular distillation and the elimination curve technique.

Embree²⁵ has published an excellent review on the application of the technique of molecular distillation to natural oils and fats. According to him, molecular distillation cannot be carried out with oils that contain more than traces of phosphatides and mucilaginous matter. Embree²⁵ concludes that the free fatty acids, odouriferous material and unsaponifiable matter could be effectively separated from animal and vegetable oils. The review deals with the triglyceride fractionation data of linseed²⁶, castor²⁷, corn²⁸, soyabean²⁸, cotton-seed²⁹, menhaden³⁰ and other oils. The results presented indicate that there is no fractionation of the triglycerides. The initial fractions are potent in free fatty

acids, sterols and unsaponifiable matter. These concentrates can thus be obtained without saponification of the oil which might otherwise alter the property of the natural constituents.

Bhat, Kane and Sreenivasan³¹ molecularly distilled sesame, karanja, undi and malkanguni oils in a cyclic falling film still and studied the concentrates of unsaponifiable matter. Sesamin and karanjin were isolated from the concentrates of sesame oil and karanja oil respectively. The fractionation of the antibacterial principle of Undi oil (*Calophyllum inophyllum*, Linn) using the same still was reported³² earlier.

Haller³³ *et al.* molecularly distilled sesame oil and the fractions obtained were separately added to pyrethrum insecticide and tested against house flies. Wachs³⁴ studied the separations of sesamolins from sesame oil and mono-glycerides from technical mixture by molecular distillation. The molecular still is effective for separating mono-glycerides from a mixture of mono-, di- and tri-glycerides. Kuhrt³⁵ *et al.* using a 5-inch centrifugal still obtained pure mono-glycerides (92-97%) from a commercial mono-glyceride mixture of hydrogenated vegetable oil, cotton-seed oil and soyabean oil.

Recent reports indicate that molecular distillation could be employed for deodorization of fats³⁶ and deacidification of coconut oil³⁷.

There is no doubt that this useful technique of molecular distillation will be employed more and more for the production of new and improved materials and for information concerning lipids generally.

REFERENCES :

1. Hickman, K. C. D., *Chem.Revs.*, 1944, 34, 51.
2. Hickman, K. C. D., *Ind.Eng.Chem.*, 1937, 29, 968.

3. Langmuir, I., *Phys.Rev.*, 1916, 8, 149 ; cited in (1).
4. Brönsted, J. N. and Hevesy, G. V., *Phil.Mag.*, 1922, 43, 31 ; cited in (1).
5. Burch, C. R., *Proc.Roy.Soc.* (London), 1929, A.123, 271.
6. Washburn, E. W., et al., *Natl.Bur. Standards. J.Research*, 1929, 2, 467 ; cited in (1).
7. Waterman, H. I. and Vlodrop C. van, *Rev.Chim.Ind.* (Paris), 1939, 48, 314 ; cited in (1).
8. Hickman, K. C. D., and Sanford, C. R., *J.Phys.Chem.*, 1930, 34, 637 ; cited in (1).
9. Nelson, O. A.; and Haller, H. A., *Ind.Eng.Chem.*, (Anal.ed.), 1937, 9, 402.
10. Carothers, W. H., and Hill, J. W., *J.Am.Chem.Soc.*, 1932, 54, 1557.
11. Allen, W. M., *J.Biol.Chem.*, 1932, 98, 591.
12. Farmer, E. H. and Heuvel, F. J. van den, *J.Soc.Chem.Ind.*, 1938, 57, 24.
13. Dam, H., and Schonheyder, F., *Nord.Med.Tid.*, 1936, 12, 1097 ; cited in (1).
14. Almquist, H. J., *J.Biol.Chem.*, 1937, 120, 635.
15. Askew, F. A. et al., *Proc.Roy.Soc.*, 1930, 107B, 76.
16. Patel, S. M. and Sreenivasan, A., *J.Sci. and Ind.Res.* (India), 1950, 9B, 31.
17. Venkitasubramanian, T. A. and De, S. S., *Science and Culture*, 1952, 17, 388.
18. Komori, S. et al., *J.Chem.Soc.Japan, Ind.Chem.Sect.*, 1951, 54, 225.
19. Sabashi, K. et al., *Bull.Japan Soc. Sci. Fisheries*, 1950, 16, 46.
20. Hickman, K. C. D. and Gray, E. L., *Ind.Eng.Chem.*, 1938, 30, 796.
21. Hickman, K. C. D., *ibid.*, 1940, 32, 1451.
22. Killeffer, D. H., *ibid.*, 1937, 29, 966.
23. Hickman, K. C. D. and Mees, G. C., *ibid.*, 1946, 38, 28.
24. Hickman, K. C. D., *ibid.*, 1947, 39, 686.
25. Embree, N. D., *Chem.Revs.*, 1941, 29, 317.
26. Hickman, K. C. D., U.S. Pat. 2, 126, 466 ; cited in (25).
27. Rawlings, H. W., cited in (25).
28. Rawlings, H. W., *Oil and Soap*, 1939, 16, 231.
29. Riemenschneider, R. W., et al., *ibid.*, 1940, 17, 145.
30. Cawley, J. D., et al., cited in (25).
31. Bhat, S. G., Kane, J. G. and Sreenivasan A., *J.Am.Oil Chemists'Soc.* (in press).
32. Bhat, S. G., Kane, J. G. and Sreenivasan, A., *J.Am Pharm.Assoc.* (Sci. ed.), 1954, 43, 543.
33. Haller, H. L., et al., *J.Org.Chem.*, 1942, 7, 183.
34. Wachs, Z. *Lebensm.—Untersuch. U. Forsch*, 96, 168, cf. *Annual Rev. on Literature I, J.Am.Oil Chemists'Soc.*, 1954, 31, 181.
35. Kuhrt, N. H., et at., *J.Am.Oil Chemists' Soc.*, 1950, 27, 310.
36. *Manufacturing Chemist*, 1951, 22, 299.
37. Brooker, S. G. and Hartman, L., *N.Z.J. of Sci. and Tech.*, 1952, 33, 488.